UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,694	03/03/2005	Hugo Camenzind	LA/1-22745/A/PCT	5272
<sup>324</sup> JoAnn Villamiz	7590 12/08/200 <b>2ar</b>	EXAMINER		
Ciba Corporation 540 White Plair	on/Patent Department	GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
P.O. Box 2005	IS KOAU	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tarrytown, NY	10591	1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/526,694	CAMENZIND ET AL.		
Fugueline i	A 4   1   ! 4		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	James Goloboy	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		h = 1 6
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	one openaning hamber of imany repo	ottod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane, anonamone (	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		annony mod amorramo.	it samesiming and
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 4-0.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	don NOT whose the application in		b
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	i condition for allowan	ce pecause:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Glenn A Caldarola/	100		
Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797	JGG		
7.55g 27 = 577 tt 51tt 17 07			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has placed claim 4 in independent form and cancelled claims 1-3. These amended claims remain rejected over Cox in view of Amende, and claims 4-6 and 8 are further rejected oved Kubo in view of Amende. These rejections have been adequately set forth in the previous office actions. Applicant argues that the amendment to claim 4 makes the allegedly unexpected results reported in the specification commensurate with the scope of the claims. This is clearly not the case, since, as discussed in paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 9/17/08, the claimed compositions can contain any amount of semi-amide, whereas results are reported for just one concentration of semi-amide. Applicant further reiterates the arguments made in applicant's remarks filed 6/6/08; the examiner maintains the positions set forth in the office action mailed 9/17/08.

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797